



Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof

Uniform sup-norm bounds for Siegel cusp forms

Antareep Mandal

Department of Mathematics
Humboldt-University Berlin

March 29, 2022



Structure of the talk

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof

1. Motivation
2. Results
3. Strategy of proof
4. Heat kernel
5. Concluding the proof



Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof

Motivation

Sup-norm bounds on the upper half-plane



Sup-norm bounds on \mathbb{H}

- $\mathbb{H} := \{z = x + iy \mid y > 0\}$, upper half-plane
- $\Gamma \subsetneq \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, Fuchsian subgroup of the first kind
- $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma)$: space of cusp forms on \mathbb{H} of weight k w.r.t Γ
- $\{f_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq d}$ O.N.B. on $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma)$ w.r.t. Petersson inner product.

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Sup-norm bounds on \mathbb{H}

- $\mathbb{H} := \{z = x + iy \mid y > 0\}$, upper half-plane
- $\Gamma \subsetneq \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, Fuchsian subgroup of the first kind
- $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma)$: space of cusp forms on \mathbb{H} of weight k w.r.t Γ
- $\{f_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq d}$ O.N.B. on $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma)$ w.r.t. Petersson inner product.

Theorem (Friedman, Jorgenson & Kramer, 2016)

$$S_k^\Gamma(z) := \sum_{j=1}^d y^k |f_j(z)|^2 \quad (z \in \mathbb{H}, k \geq 2)$$

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{H}} S_k^\Gamma(z) \leq \begin{cases} c_\Gamma k & (\Gamma \text{ cocompact}), \\ c_\Gamma k^{3/2} & (\Gamma \text{ cofinite}), \end{cases}$$

where $c_\Gamma > 0$ is a positive real number depending only on Γ .

Furthermore, this bound is uniform in the sense that if we fix a group $\Gamma_0 \subsetneq \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and take Γ to be a subgroup of Γ_0 of finite index, then c_Γ depends only on the fixed group Γ_0 .

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding the
proof



Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof

Results

Sup-norm bounds on the Siegel upper half-space



Generalization

- $\mathbb{H}_n = \{Z = X + iY \mid X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, X = X^t, Y = Y^t, Y > 0\}$
Siegel upper half-space of degree n
- $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R}) := \{g \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n} \mid g^t J_n g = J_n\}$ with
 $J_n := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1}_n \\ -\mathbb{1}_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, real symplectic group of degree n
- $Z \mapsto gZ = (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}$ ($g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$)
- $\Gamma \subsetneq \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ arithmetic subgroup, e.g., $\Gamma_n := \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof

extra



Generalization

- $\mathbb{H}_n = \{Z = X + iY \mid X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, X = X^t, Y = Y^t, Y > 0\}$
Siegel upper half-space of degree n
- $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R}) := \{g \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n} \mid g^t J_n g = J_n\}$ with
 $J_n := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1}_n \\ -\mathbb{1}_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, real symplectic group of degree n
- $Z \mapsto gZ = (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}$ ($g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$)
- $\Gamma \subsetneq \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ arithmetic subgroup, e.g., $\Gamma_n := \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{Z})$
- $\mathcal{S}_k^n(\Gamma)$: space of cusp forms on \mathbb{H}_n of weight k w.r.t Γ
- $\{f_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq d}$, a basis of $\mathcal{S}_k^n(\Gamma)$ orthonormal with respect to the Petersson inner product on $\mathcal{S}_k^n(\Gamma)$.
- $S_k^\Gamma(Z) := \sum_{j=1}^d \det(Y)^k |f_j(Z)|^2$ ($Z \in \mathbb{H}_n$)

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof

extra



Sup-norm bounds on \mathbb{H}_n

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof

Theorem

- $\Gamma \subsetneq \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ *arithmetic subgroup*
- $k \geq n + 1$

Then, for all $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\sup_{Z \in \mathbb{H}_n} S_k^\Gamma(Z) \leq \begin{cases} c_{n,\Gamma} k^{n(n+1)/2} & (\Gamma \text{ cocompact}), \\ c_{n,\Gamma} k^{3n(n+1)/4} & (\Gamma \text{ cofinite}), \end{cases}$$

where $c_{n,\Gamma} > 0$ is a positive real number depending only on the degree n of \mathbb{H}_n and the group Γ .

Furthermore, this bound is uniform in the sense that if we fix a group $\Gamma_0 \subsetneq \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and take Γ to be a subgroup of Γ_0 of finite index, then the constant $c_{n,\Gamma}$ in these bounds depends only on the degree n and the fixed group Γ_0 .



Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof

Strategy of proof



Strategy of proof

- $\mathcal{V}_k^n(\Gamma)$: the space of real analytic functions $\varphi: \mathbb{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the transformation behaviour

$$\varphi(\gamma Z) = \left(\frac{\det(CZ + D)}{\det(C\bar{Z} + D)} \right)^{k/2} \varphi(Z) \quad \left(\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma \right)$$

- Petersson inner product and norm defined on $\mathcal{V}_k^n(\Gamma)$.
- $\mathcal{H}_k^n(\Gamma) := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_k^n(\Gamma) \mid \|\varphi\| < \infty\}$, the Hilbert space of square integrable functions in $\mathcal{V}_k^n(\Gamma)$.

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Strategy of proof

- $\mathcal{V}_k^n(\Gamma)$: the space of real analytic functions $\varphi: \mathbb{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the transformation behaviour

$$\varphi(\gamma Z) = \left(\frac{\det(CZ + D)}{\det(C\bar{Z} + D)} \right)^{k/2} \varphi(Z) \quad \left(\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma \right)$$

- Petersson inner product and norm defined on $\mathcal{V}_k^n(\Gamma)$.
- $\mathcal{H}_k^n(\Gamma) := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_k^n(\Gamma) \mid \|\varphi\| < \infty\}$, the Hilbert space of square integrable functions in $\mathcal{V}_k^n(\Gamma)$.
- Δ : Laplace–Beltrami operator on \mathbb{H}_n
- Siegel–Maaß Laplacian of weight k : $\Delta_k = \Delta - \text{tr} \left(ikY \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \right)$

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Strategy of proof

- $\mathcal{V}_k^n(\Gamma)$: the space of real analytic functions $\varphi: \mathbb{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the transformation behaviour

$$\varphi(\gamma Z) = \left(\frac{\det(CZ + D)}{\det(C\bar{Z} + D)} \right)^{k/2} \varphi(Z) \quad \left(\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma \right)$$

- Petersson inner product and norm defined on $\mathcal{V}_k^n(\Gamma)$.
- $\mathcal{H}_k^n(\Gamma) := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{V}_k^n(\Gamma) \mid \|\varphi\| < \infty\}$, the Hilbert space of square integrable functions in $\mathcal{V}_k^n(\Gamma)$.
- Δ : Laplace–Beltrami operator on \mathbb{H}_n
- Siegel–Maaß Laplacian of weight k : $\Delta_k = \Delta - \text{tr} \left(ikY \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \right)$
- Δ_k extends to an essentially self-adjoint linear operator acting on a dense subspace of $\mathcal{H}_k^n(\Gamma)$.

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Strategy of proof

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof

- Laplace eq. $(\Delta_k + \lambda)\varphi = 0$ satisfy $\lambda \geq \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k)$



Strategy of proof

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof

- Laplace eq. $(\Delta_k + \lambda)\varphi = 0$ satisfy $\lambda \geq \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k)$
- $\lambda = \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k) \implies \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_k^n(\Gamma)$ is of the form $\varphi(Z) = \det(Y)^{k/2} f(Z)$ with $f \in \mathcal{S}_k^n(\Gamma)$



Strategy of proof

- Laplace eq. $(\Delta_k + \lambda)\varphi = 0$ satisfy $\lambda \geq \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k)$
- $\lambda = \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k) \implies \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_k^n(\Gamma)$ is of the form $\varphi(Z) = \det(Y)^{k/2} f(Z)$ with $f \in \mathcal{S}_k^n(\Gamma)$

Connecting Siegel cusp forms to Δ_k

$\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma) \cong \ker(\Delta_k + \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k))$ induced by $f \mapsto \det(Y)^{k/2} f$

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Strategy of proof

- Laplace eq. $(\Delta_k + \lambda)\varphi = 0$ satisfy $\lambda \geq \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k)$
- $\lambda = \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k) \implies \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_k^n(\Gamma)$ is of the form $\varphi(Z) = \det(Y)^{k/2} f(Z)$ with $f \in \mathcal{S}_k^n(\Gamma)$

Connecting Siegel cusp forms to Δ_k

$\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma) \cong \ker(\Delta_k + \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k))$ induced by $f \mapsto \det(Y)^{k/2} f$

- $K_t^{(k, \Gamma)}$: Heat kernel corresponding to Δ_k on $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}_n$.

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Strategy of proof

- Laplace eq. $(\Delta_k + \lambda)\varphi = 0$ satisfy $\lambda \geq \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k)$
- $\lambda = \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k) \implies \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_k^n(\Gamma)$ is of the form $\varphi(Z) = \det(Y)^{k/2} f(Z)$ with $f \in \mathcal{S}_k^n(\Gamma)$

Connecting Siegel cusp forms to Δ_k

$\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma) \cong \ker(\Delta_k + \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k))$ induced by $f \mapsto \det(Y)^{k/2} f$

- $K_t^{(k,\Gamma)}$: Heat kernel corresponding to Δ_k on $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}_n$.
- $K_t^{(k,\Gamma)}$ has the spectral decomposition

$$K_t^{(k,\Gamma)}(Z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j t} |\varphi_{\lambda_j}(Z)|^2 + \text{continuous terms}$$

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Strategy of proof

- Laplace eq. $(\Delta_k + \lambda)\varphi = 0$ satisfy $\lambda \geq \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k)$
- $\lambda = \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k) \implies \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_k^n(\Gamma)$ is of the form $\varphi(Z) = \det(Y)^{k/2} f(Z)$ with $f \in \mathcal{S}_k^n(\Gamma)$

Connecting Siegel cusp forms to Δ_k

$\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma) \cong \ker(\Delta_k + \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k))$ induced by $f \mapsto \det(Y)^{k/2} f$

- $K_t^{(k,\Gamma)}$: Heat kernel corresponding to Δ_k on $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}_n$.
- $K_t^{(k,\Gamma)}$ has the spectral decomposition

$$K_t^{(k,\Gamma)}(Z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j t} |\varphi_{\lambda_j}(Z)|^2 + \text{continuous terms}$$

Connecting heat kernel to $\mathcal{S}_k^\Gamma(Z)$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp\left(\frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k)t\right) K_t^{(k,\Gamma)}(Z) = \sum_{j=1}^d \det(Y)^k |f_j(Z)|^2$$

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Strategy of proof

- Laplace eq. $(\Delta_k + \lambda)\varphi = 0$ satisfy $\lambda \geq \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k)$
- $\lambda = \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k) \implies \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_k^n(\Gamma)$ is of the form $\varphi(Z) = \det(Y)^{k/2} f(Z)$ with $f \in \mathcal{S}_k^n(\Gamma)$

Connecting Siegel cusp forms to Δ_k

$\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma) \cong \ker(\Delta_k + \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k))$ induced by $f \mapsto \det(Y)^{k/2} f$

- $K_t^{(k,\Gamma)}$: Heat kernel corresponding to Δ_k on $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}_n$.
- $K_t^{(k,\Gamma)}$ has the spectral decomposition

$$K_t^{(k,\Gamma)}(Z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j t} |\varphi_{\lambda_j}(Z)|^2 + \text{continuous terms}$$

Connecting heat kernel to $S_k^\Gamma(Z)$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \exp\left(-\frac{nk}{4}(k - (n+1))t\right) K_t^{(k,\Gamma)}(Z) = S_k^\Gamma(Z) \quad (k \geq n+1)$$

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Strategy of proof

- Laplace eq. $(\Delta_k + \lambda)\varphi = 0$ satisfy $\lambda \geq \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k)$
- $\lambda = \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k) \implies \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_k^n(\Gamma)$ is of the form $\varphi(Z) = \det(Y)^{k/2} f(Z)$ with $f \in \mathcal{S}_k^n(\Gamma)$

Connecting Siegel cusp forms to Δ_k

$\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma) \cong \ker(\Delta_k + \frac{nk}{4}((n+1) - k))$ induced by $f \mapsto \det(Y)^{k/2} f$

- $K_t^{(k,\Gamma)}$: Heat kernel corresponding to Δ_k on $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}_n$.
- $K_t^{(k,\Gamma)}$ has the spectral decomposition

$$K_t^{(k,\Gamma)}(Z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j t} |\varphi_{\lambda_j}(Z)|^2 + \text{continuous terms}$$

Connecting heat kernel to $S_k^\Gamma(Z)$

$$\exp\left(-\frac{nk}{4}(k - (n+1))t\right) K_t^{(k,\Gamma)}(Z) \geq S_k^\Gamma(Z) \quad (t > 0)$$

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof

Heat kernel



Heat kernel on Siegel upper half-space

The heat kernel on \mathbb{H}_n corresponding to the Laplace–Beltrami operator $\Delta = \Delta_0$ is obtained as:

Heat kernel on \mathbb{H}_n

$$K_t(2R) = c_n \frac{\exp(-\sum_{j=1}^n j^2 t/4)}{t^{n^2+n/2}} \int_{q \in K} \frac{\varepsilon(\varrho(r, q)) \exp(-\sum_{j=1}^n \varrho_j(r, q)^2/t)}{\delta(\varrho(r, q))} d\mu(q)$$

where,

$R = R(Z, W)$ ($Z, W \in \mathbb{H}_n$) is a $(n \times n)$ diagonal matrix coming from the eigenvalues of the cross-ratio matrix of Z and W .

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Heat kernel on Siegel upper half-space

The heat kernel on \mathbb{H}_n corresponding to the Laplace–Beltrami operator $\Delta = \Delta_0$ is obtained as:

Heat kernel on \mathbb{H}_n

$$K_t(2R) = c_n \frac{\exp(-\sum_{j=1}^n j^2 t/4)}{t^{n^2+n/2}} \int_{q \in K} \frac{\varepsilon(\varrho(r, q)) \exp(-\sum_{j=1}^n \varrho_j(r, q)^2/t)}{\delta(\varrho(r, q))} d\mu(q)$$

where,

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & r_n \end{pmatrix} \quad r = \begin{pmatrix} R & 0 \\ 0 & -R \end{pmatrix} \quad (r_j \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$$

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \varrho_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \varrho_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \varrho = \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & -P \end{pmatrix} \quad (\varrho_j \in \mathbb{R})$$

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Heat kernel on Siegel upper half-space

The heat kernel on \mathbb{H}_n corresponding to the Laplace–Beltrami operator $\Delta = \Delta_0$ is obtained as:

Heat kernel on \mathbb{H}_n

$$K_t(2R) = c_n \frac{\exp(-\sum_{j=1}^n j^2 t/4)}{t^{n^2+n/2}} \int_{q \in K} \frac{\varepsilon(\varrho(r, q)) \exp(-\sum_{j=1}^n \varrho_j(r, q)^2/t)}{\delta(\varrho(r, q))} d\mu(q)$$

where,

- $qe^r \bar{q}^t = ue^{\varrho} \bar{u}^t \in \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$, Hermitian.
- r and ϱ symplectic diagonal.
- $q \in K = \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cap O(2n, \mathbb{C})$
- $u \in U = \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cap U(2n)$
- Hard to explicitly calculate ϱ in terms of r and q .

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Heat kernel on Siegel upper half-space

The heat kernel on \mathbb{H}_n corresponding to the Laplace–Beltrami operator $\Delta = \Delta_0$ is obtained as:

Heat kernel on \mathbb{H}_n

$$K_t(2R) = c_n \frac{\exp(-\sum_{j=1}^n j^2 t/4)}{t^{n^2+n/2}} \int_{q \in K} \frac{\varepsilon(\varrho(r, q)) \exp(-\sum_{j=1}^n \varrho_j(r, q)^2/t)}{\delta(\varrho(r, q))} d\mu(q)$$

where,

$$\varepsilon(\varrho) = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} \varrho_j \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (\varrho_j + \varrho_k) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (\varrho_j - \varrho_k)$$

$$\delta(\varrho) = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} \operatorname{sh}(\varrho_j) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \operatorname{sh}\left(\frac{\varrho_j + \varrho_k}{2}\right) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} \operatorname{sh}\left(\frac{\varrho_j - \varrho_k}{2}\right)$$

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Heat kernel of weight k

The heat kernel $K_t^{(k)}(2R)$ of weight k on \mathbb{H}_n is immediately obtained from the previous formula by inserting the factor $\det(h(q))^{2k}$, i.e.,

$$K_t^{(k)}(2R) = c_n \frac{e^{-\sum_{j=1}^n j^2 t/4}}{t^{n^2+n/2}} \int_K \cdots \det(h(q))^{2k} d\mu(q),$$

where the matrix $h(q) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is obtained as follows:

- Write $q \in K$ as $q = q_0 q_h$ with q_0 real orthogonal and

$$q_h = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix}$$

is hermitian orthogonal.

- Then, we obtain $h(q) = A + iB$, which is hermitian.

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Heat kernel of weight k

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof

From the parametrization

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{V} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\Theta) & \sin(\Theta) \\ -\sin(\Theta) & \cos(\Theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{W} \end{pmatrix}$$
 of U and the relation $qe^r \overline{q}^t = ue^\varrho \overline{u}^t$, one obtains



Heat kernel of weight k

From the parametrization

$u = \begin{pmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{V} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\Theta) & \sin(\Theta) \\ -\sin(\Theta) & \cos(\Theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{W} \end{pmatrix}$ of U and the relation $qe^r \overline{q}^t = ue^\varrho \overline{u}^t$, one obtains

$$\det(h(q)) = \frac{\det(\cos(\Theta) We^P \overline{W}^t \cos(\Theta) + \sin(\Theta) \overline{W} e^{-P} W^t \sin(\Theta))}{\prod_{j=1}^n \operatorname{ch}^k(r_j)}$$

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Heat kernel of weight k

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof

From the parametrization

$u = \begin{pmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{V} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\Theta) & \sin(\Theta) \\ -\sin(\Theta) & \cos(\Theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{W} \end{pmatrix}$ of U and the
relation $qe^r \overline{q}^t = ue^\varrho \overline{u}^t$, one obtains

$$\det(h(q)) \leq \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^n |\varrho_j|\right) / \prod_{j=1}^n \text{ch}^k(r_j)$$



Heat kernel of weight k

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof

From the parametrization

$u = \begin{pmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{V} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\Theta) & \sin(\Theta) \\ -\sin(\Theta) & \cos(\Theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{W} \end{pmatrix}$ of U and the
relation $qe^r \bar{q}^t = ue^\varrho \bar{u}^t$, one obtains

$$\det(h(q)) \leq \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^n |\varrho_j|\right) / \prod_{j=1}^n \operatorname{ch}^k(r_j)$$

Periodized weight- k heat kernel on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}_n$

$$K_t^{(k, \Gamma)}(Z) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \det\left(\frac{Z - \gamma \bar{Z}}{\gamma Z - \bar{Z}}\right)^{k/2} \det\left(\frac{C\bar{Z} + D}{CZ + D}\right)^{k/2} K_t^{(k)}(2R(Z, \gamma Z))$$



Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof

Concluding the proof



Compact case

- Let M be compact. By a counting function argument

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} K_t^{(k)}(R(Z, \gamma Z)) &\leq c_{n, \Gamma} \int_{(r_j)=0} K_t^{(k)}(2R) |\delta(2r)| \bigwedge_{j=1}^n dr_j \\ &= c_{n, \Gamma} \int_{(r_j)=0}^{\infty} \int_{q \in K} \cdots d\mu(q) \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^n dr_j \end{aligned}$$

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Compact case

- Let M be compact. By a counting function argument

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} K_t^{(k)}(R(Z, \gamma Z)) &\leq c_{n, \Gamma} \int_{(r_j)=0} K_t^{(k)}(2R) |\delta(2r)| \bigwedge_{j=1}^n dr_j \\ &= c_{n, \Gamma} \int_{(r_j)=0}^{\infty} \int_{q \in K} \cdots d\mu(q) \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^n dr_j \end{aligned}$$

- From $qe^r \bar{q}^t = ue^{\varrho} \bar{u}^t$, using change of variables

$|\delta(2r)| \bigwedge_{j=1}^n dr_j \wedge d\mu(q) = c_n \delta(\varrho)^2 \bigwedge_{j=1}^n d\varrho_j \wedge d\mu(u)$, the right hand integral becomes

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} K_t^{(k)}(R(Z, \gamma Z)) \leq c_{n, \Gamma} \int_{(\varrho_j)=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{u \in U} \cdots d\mu(u) \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^n d\varrho_j$$



Compact case

- This gets rid of the semi-explicit nature of the integral and can be explicitly bounded by a series of Gamma integrals which can be easily evaluated to a polynomial in k and t .

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Compact case

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof

- This gets rid of the semi-explicit nature of the integral and can be explicitly bounded by a series of Gamma integrals which can be easily evaluated to a polynomial in k and t .
- Taking the highest values of k and t we have
$$S_k^\Gamma(Z) \leq c_{n,\Gamma} k^{n(n+1)} t^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \mu(\sqrt{t}).$$



Compact case

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof

- This gets rid of the semi-explicit nature of the integral and can be explicitly bounded by a series of Gamma integrals which can be easily evaluated to a polynomial in k and t .
- Taking the highest values of k and t we have
$$S_k^\Gamma(Z) \leq c_{n,\Gamma} k^{n(n+1)} t^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \mu(\sqrt{t}).$$
- Now multiplying both sides of the above inequality by e^{-kt} and integrating over $t \in [0, \infty]$, we have

$$S_k^\Gamma(Z) \leq c_{n,\Gamma} k^{n(n+1)/2} \quad (Z \in \mathbb{H}_n),$$

which is the requisite compact bound.



Non-compact case

- With some limiting argument on the heat kernel:

$$\sup_{Z \in \mathbb{H}_n} S_k^\Gamma(Z) \leq c_n k^{n(n+1)/2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \operatorname{ch}^k(r_j(Z, \gamma Z))}$$

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Non-compact case

- With some limiting argument on the heat kernel:

$$\sup_{Z \in \mathbb{H}_n} S_k^\Gamma(Z) \leq c_n k^{n(n+1)/2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \operatorname{ch}^k(r_j(Z, \gamma Z))}$$

- Using the commensurability of Γ with Γ_n , we shift to the standard picture for 'cusps at infinity' for Γ_n .

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Non-compact case

- With some limiting argument on the heat kernel:

$$\sup_{Z \in \mathbb{H}_n} S_k^\Gamma(Z) \leq c_n k^{n(n+1)/2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \operatorname{ch}^k(r_j(Z, \gamma Z))}$$

- Using the commensurability of Γ with Γ_n , we shift to the standard picture for 'cusps at infinity' for Γ_n .
- Then with a maximum-modulus argument, we show that in suitably chosen cusp-neighbourhoods, the compact bound holds.

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Non-compact case

- With some limiting argument on the heat kernel:

$$\sup_{Z \in \mathbb{H}_n} S_k^\Gamma(Z) \leq c_n k^{n(n+1)/2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \operatorname{ch}^k(r_j(Z, \gamma Z))}$$

- Using the commensurability of Γ with Γ_n , we shift to the standard picture for 'cusps at infinity' for Γ_n .
- Then with a maximum-modulus argument, we show that in suitably chosen cusp-neighbourhoods, the compact bound holds.
- Too far away from the cusps, obviously the compact bound holds

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Non-compact case

- With some limiting argument on the heat kernel:

$$\sup_{Z \in \mathbb{H}_n} S_k^\Gamma(Z) \leq c_n k^{n(n+1)/2} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \text{ch}^k(r_j(Z, \gamma Z))}$$

- Using the commensurability of Γ with Γ_n , we shift to the standard picture for 'cusps at infinity' for Γ_n .
- Then with a maximum-modulus argument, we show that in suitably chosen cusp-neighbourhoods, the compact bound holds.
- Too far away from the cusps, obviously the compact bound holds
- Thus, left to determine the bound only in the annulus:

$$\{Z = X + iY \in \mathcal{F}_n \mid \varepsilon < \lambda_n(Y) \leq \frac{k}{2c_2(n)}\}$$

$$\subsetneq \{Z = X + iY \in \mathcal{F}_n \mid Y \leq \frac{k}{2c_2(n)} \mathbb{1}_n\}$$



Non-compact case

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

- In this region, we split the sum into $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_\infty} + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty}$.

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Non-compact case

- In this region, we split the sum into $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_\infty} + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty}$.

Standard parabolic matrices

$$\Gamma_\infty = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & AS \\ 0 & A^{-t} \end{pmatrix} \mid A = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_j & 0 \\ L & \mathbb{1}_{n-j} \end{pmatrix}, S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H^t \\ H & S_2 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \right\},$$

where $L, H \in \mathbb{Z}^{(n-j) \times j}$ and $S_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(n-j) \times (n-j)}$, $S_2 = S_2^t$.

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Non-compact case

- In this region, we split the sum into $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_\infty} + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty}$.

Standard parabolic matrices

$$\Gamma_\infty = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & AS \\ 0 & A^{-t} \end{pmatrix} \mid A = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_j & 0 \\ L & \mathbb{1}_{n-j} \end{pmatrix}, S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H^t \\ H & S_2 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \right\},$$

where $L, H \in \mathbb{Z}^{(n-j) \times j}$ and $S_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(n-j) \times (n-j)}$, $S_2 = S_2^t$.

- The sum $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_\infty}$ gives only compact bound.

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Non-compact case

- In this region, we split the sum into $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_\infty} + \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty}$.

Standard parabolic matrices

$$\Gamma_\infty = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & AS \\ 0 & A^{-t} \end{pmatrix} \mid A = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_j & 0 \\ L & \mathbb{1}_{n-j} \end{pmatrix}, S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H^t \\ H & S_2 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \right\},$$

where $L, H \in \mathbb{Z}^{(n-j) \times j}$ and $S_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^{(n-j) \times (n-j)}$, $S_2 = S_2^t$.

- The sum $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_\infty}$ gives only compact bound.
- The largest contribution in the sum $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty}$ comes from

$$\Gamma_\infty^0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_n & S \\ 0 & \mathbb{1}_n \end{pmatrix} \mid S = S^t \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times n} \right\}.$$

- $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1}_n & S \\ 0 & \mathbb{1}_n \end{pmatrix} Z = Z + S = (X + S) + iY \quad (Z = X + iY \in \mathbb{H}_n).$

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Non-compact case

We estimate this contribution by

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty}^0} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \text{ch}^k(r_j(Z, \gamma Z))}$$

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Non-compact case

We estimate this contribution by

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty}^0} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \text{ch}^k(r_j(Z, Z+S))}$$

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Non-compact case

We estimate this contribution by

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty}^0} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \operatorname{ch}^k(r_j(Z, Z+S))} \leq \int_{S=S^t} \frac{[dS]}{\det(\mathbb{1}_n + (\frac{1}{2} Y^{-1/2} S Y^{-1/2})^2)^{k/2}}$$

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Non-compact case

We estimate this contribution by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty}^0} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \text{ch}^k(r_j(Z, Z+S))} &\leq \int_{S=S^t} \frac{[dS]}{\det(\mathbb{1}_n + (\frac{1}{2}Y^{-1/2}SY^{-1/2})^2)^{k/2}} \\ &= c_n \det(Y)^{(n+1)/2} \int_{T=T^t} \frac{[dT]}{\det(\mathbb{1}_n + T^2)^{k/2}} \end{aligned}$$

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Non-compact case

We estimate this contribution by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty}^0} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \operatorname{ch}^k(r_j(Z, Z+S))} &\leq \int_{S=S^t} \frac{[dS]}{\det(\mathbb{1}_n + (\frac{1}{2}Y^{-1/2}SY^{-1/2})^2)^{k/2}} \\ &= c_n \det(Y)^{(n+1)/2} \int_{T=T^t} \frac{[dT]}{\det(\mathbb{1}_n + T^2)^{k/2}} \end{aligned}$$

Standard matrix beta integral first calculated by Hua in 1963.

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Non-compact case

We estimate this contribution by

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty}^0} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \operatorname{ch}^k(r_j(Z, Z+S))} \leq \int_{S=S^t} \frac{[dS]}{\det(\mathbb{1}_n + (\frac{1}{2} Y^{-1/2} S Y^{-1/2})^2)^{k/2}}$$

$$= c_n \det(Y)^{(n+1)/2} \int_{T=T^t} \frac{[dT]}{\det(\mathbb{1}_n + T^2)^{k/2}}$$

Standard matrix beta integral first calculated by Hua in 1963. Then with $\det(Y) < (k/(2c_2(n)))^n$, it easily follows that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty}^0} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \operatorname{ch}^k(r_j(Z, \gamma Z))} \leq c_n k^{n(n+1)/4}.$$

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof



Non-compact case

We estimate this contribution by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty}^0} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \operatorname{ch}^k(r_j(Z, Z+S))} &\leq \int_{S=S^t} \frac{[dS]}{\det(\mathbb{1}_n + (\frac{1}{2}Y^{-1/2}SY^{-1/2})^2)^{k/2}} \\ &= c_n \det(Y)^{(n+1)/2} \int_{T=T^t} \frac{[dT]}{\det(\mathbb{1}_n + T^2)^{k/2}} \end{aligned}$$

Standard matrix beta integral first calculated by Hua in 1963. Then with $\det(Y) < (k/(2c_2(n)))^n$, it easily follows that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty}^0} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n \operatorname{ch}^k(r_j(Z, \gamma Z))} \leq c_n k^{n(n+1)/4}.$$

This gives the requisite non-compact bound.



Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Motivation

Results

Strategy of
proof

Heat kernel

Concluding
the proof

Thank you!



Extra definitions

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

Definition (Siegel cusp form)

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{R})$ be a subgroup commensurable with $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, i.e., the intersection $\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is a finite index subgroup of Γ as well as of $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

We let $\gamma_j \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ ($j = 1, \dots, h$) denote a set of representatives for the left cosets of $\Gamma \cap \mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ in $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

Then, a *Siegel cusp form of weight k and degree n for Γ* is a function $f: \mathbb{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) f is holomorphic;
- (ii) $f(\gamma Z) = \det(CZ + D)^k f(Z)$ for all $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$;
- (iii) given $Y_0 \in \mathrm{Sym}_n(\mathbb{R})$ with $Y_0 \gg 0$, the quantities $\det(C_j Z + D_j)^{-k} f(\gamma_j Z)$ become arbitrarily small in the region $\{Z = X + iY \in \mathbb{H}_n \mid Y \geq Y_0\}$ for the set of representatives $\gamma_j = \begin{pmatrix} A_j & B_j \\ C_j & D_j \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

[back](#)



Extra definitions

Sup-norm
bounds for
Siegel cusp
forms

- Distance matrix $R(Z, W)$ on \mathbb{H}_n is given by

$$R(Z, W) = \begin{pmatrix} r_1(Z, W) & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & r_n(Z, W) \end{pmatrix} \quad (Z, W \in \mathbb{H}_n)$$

$r_j(Z, W)$ related to the eigenvalues $\rho_j(Z, W)$ of the cross-ratio matrix

$$\rho(Z, W) = (Z - W)(\bar{Z} - W)^{-1}(\bar{Z} - \bar{W})(Z - \bar{W})^{-1}$$

by the relation

$$\exp(2r_j(Z, W)) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{\rho_j(Z, W)}}{1 - \sqrt{\rho_j(Z, W)}} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

- Siegel metric on \mathbb{H}_n given by:

$$d\mu_n(Z) = \frac{\bigwedge_{1 \leq j \leq k \leq n} dx_{j,k} \wedge dy_{j,k}}{\det(Y)^{n+1}} \quad (z_{j,k} = x_{j,k} + iy_{j,k})$$